

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ANTHONY CHARLES BRAY,

Defendant and Appellant.

D056073

(Super. Ct. No. RIF122751)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Riverside, Janet I. Kintner, Judge. (Retired judge of the San Diego Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to art. VI, § 6 of the Cal. Const.) Affirmed.

Anthony Charles Bray entered a negotiated guilty plea to evading an officer with reckless driving (Veh. Code, § 2800.2, subd. (a)) and admitted serving five prior prison terms (Pen. Code, § 667.5, subd. (b)) and having three strikes (Pen. Code, § 667, subds. (b)-(i)). Pursuant to the plea agreement, the court dismissed two of the strikes and

sentenced Bray to 11 years in prison: six years (twice the upper term) for evading and one year for each prison prior. Bray appeals. We affirm.

BACKGROUND

On April 3, 2005, a police officer activated his patrol car's siren and lights in an attempt to stop Bray. Bray evaded the officer by driving with wanton and willful disregard for the safety of persons and property.

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief summarizing the facts and proceedings below. Counsel presents no argument for reversal, but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel lists, as a possible but not arguable issue, whether Bray's guilty plea was knowing, intelligent and voluntary.

We granted Bray permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded. A review of the record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issue listed pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issues. Bray has been competently represented by counsel on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

O'ROURKE, J.

WE CONCUR:

HUFFMAN, Acting P. J.

HALLER, J.